APPENDIX XVI

Serial No.: 09/955,064

Docket No.: 49933US031

Advisory Action mailed from the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office on November 6, 2002.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Fates; and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Weshington, D.C. 2033

APPI-ICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION N
09/520,032	03/06/2000	Timothy L. Hoopman	49933 USA6H 9385 USO3/	
Gregory D. Allen Office of Intellectual Property Counsel			EXAMINER	
3M Innovative Properties Company			LEYSON, JOSEPH S	
P O Box 33427 St Paul, MN <u>55133-3427</u>			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1722	29
		DATE MAILED: 11/06/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/520,032	HOOPMAN ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Joseph Leyson	1722				
Th MAILING DATE of this communicati n a	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondenc address				
THE REPLY FILED 10 October 2002 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Ap Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	CE THIS APPLICATION IN CON o avoid abandonment of this app r. (1) a timely filed amendment with appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely	IDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.				
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire late ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 708,07(f).	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in t or than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date AS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI	of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shorter (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sension and the corresponding amount of the	ne fee. The appropriate extension fee under				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) ☐ they present additional claims without cand NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the rejection of claim 40 under 35 USC 112.						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered to raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	pecause if is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly				
7. ☐ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☐ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	rs:	• •				
Claim(s) allowed: 19.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 17, 20, 21, 25-28, 33-54, 94-96 and 98-111; amended claims will be rejected as in the final office action.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		-				
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Examiner.				
9. Not the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:						
		SUPERVISORY-PATENT-EXAMINER				
		ART UNIT BY 1722				
S. Petent and Trademark Office		110502				